## CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPT. DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES DIVISION <br> DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

## STAFF REPORT DEVELOPMENTREVIEWCOMMISSION REDEVELOPMENT REQUEST PUBLIC HEARING

For Public Hearing and Executive Action on June 7, 2023, beginning at 1:00 P.M., Council Chambers, City Hall, 175 Fifth Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida

According to Planning \& Development Department records, no Commission member has a direct or indirect ownership interest in rear property located within 2,000 linear feet of real property contained within the application (measured by a straight line between the nearest points on the property lines). All other possible conflicts should be declared upon the announcement of the item.

CASE NO.: 23-51000001 PLAT SHEET: F-14

| REQUEST: | Approval of a Redevelopment Plan to allow the redevelopment of <br> two (2) existing units into two (2) townhomes. |
| :--- | :--- |
| OWNER: | CANOPY BS PHASE 2 LLC <br> 200 MIRROR LAKE DR N <br> ST PETERSBURG FL 33701-3224 |
| AGENT: | BENJAMIN GELSTON <br> CANOPY BUILDERS LLC <br> 1900 DR MARTIN LUTHER KING JR STREET NORTH <br> SAINT PETERSBURG, FL 33704 |
| ADDRESS: | 858 26th Avenue North |
| PARCEL ID NO.: | $07-31-17-84888-000-0200$ |
| LEGAL DESCRIPTION: | Lot 20, Spring Hill Revised |
| ZONING: | Neighborhood Traditional Single-Family (NT-2) |

SITE AREA TOTAL: $\quad 6,500$ square feet or .14 acres

## GROSS FLOOR AREA:

| Existing: | 1,888 square feet | 0.29 F.A.R. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proposed: | 4,229 square feet | 0.65 F.A.R. * |
| Permitted: | 4,229 square feet | 0.65 F.A.R. ** |

* Not including the first 600 square feet of garage area or open porches
* The applicant is requesting design related bonuses to the 0.50 base floor area ratio.


## DENSITY:

| Existing: | 2 units | (15 units per acre) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Permitted: | 1 principal 1 accessory | (15 units per acre) |
| Proposed: | 2 units | (15 units per acre) |

## BUILDING COVERAGE:

| Existing: | 1,605 square feet | $18 \%$ of Site MOL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proposed: | 4,512 square feet | $51 \%$ of Site MOL |
| Permitted: | 3,900 square feet | $60 \%$ of Site MOL |

## IMPERVIOUS SURFACE:

| Existing: | 1,225 square feet |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed: | 4,185 square feet |
| Permitted: | 4,225 square feet |

$18 \%$ of Site MOL
Proposed:
4,225 square feet
64\% of Site MOL
65\% of Site MOL

## OPEN GREEN SPACE:

| Existing: | 5,277 square feet | $81 \%$ of Site MOL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proposed: | 2,315 square feet | $35 \%$ of Site MOL |

PAVING COVERAGE:
Existing:
Proposed:

348 square feet
1,316 square feet

81\% of Site MOL $35 \%$ of Site MOL

5\% of Site MOL $7 \%$ of Site MOL

PARKING:
Existing:
Proposed:
1 space, including 0 handicapped spaces
Required:
4 spaces, including 0 handicapped space
4 spaces, including 0 handicapped space

## BUILDING HEIGHT:

Existing:
18 feet
Proposed:
31.8 feet

Permitted:
36 feet

## APPLICATION REVIEW:

I. PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS: The applicant has met and complied with the procedural requirements of Section 16.70.040.1.15 of the Municipal Code for to determine compliance with the criteria for Redevelopment.

## II. DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Background: The subject property is zoned NT-2 (Neighborhood Traditional SingleFamily) and is located in the Crescent Heights neighborhood. The property has a lot width of 50 -feet, depth of 130 -feet, and an area of approximately 6,500 square feet. The property is currently developed with a two-story frame two-unit structure (Property Card Interpretation 22-41000015), located at the rear third of the parcel. This application seeks to demolish the existing two units to construct two townhomes.

The current regulations for the NT-2 zoning district allows one primary dwelling unit and one accessory dwelling unit, on lots with a minimum lot area of 4,500 square feet. In accordance with the Section 16.10.020.1 of the Land Development Regulations, the existing two (2) units are grandfathered. Business Tax receipts for the site have been maintained for two (2) dwelling units. The code permits the redevelopment of grandfathered uses, subject to the Commission's approval of a Redevelopment Plan.

The Request: This application requests approval of a Redevelopment Plan to redevelop two (2) units into two (2) townhomes.

Current Proposal: The proposed townhome development will be two-stories and designed in the American Vernacular architectural style. The plan incorporates design related FAR bonuses with no variances.

Redevelopment Criterion: Pursuant to Code Subsection 16.70.040.1.15, an application for redevelopment must be reviewed for compliance with the criteria. An analysis of the criteria is below.

1. Building Type. Structures shall be required to match the predominate building type in the block face across the street.

Structures across the street vary between one-story and two-story buildings. The proposed townhomes will be two-stories, designed with two porches. One entry door will face the street and the second entry will face the interior side yard.
2. Building Setbacks. Structures shall be required to match the predominate building setbacks in the block face across the street.

The proposed building meets the required setbacks for the NT-2 zoning district, which is consistent with the development pattern.
3. Building Scale. Structures shall be required to match the predominate building type, setbacks, and scale in the block face across the street.

One- and two-story residential structures are present along the street face and within the surrounding neighborhood. The proposed two-story structure meets the height and setback requirements of the district which is consistent with the building type, setbacks, and scale across the street.
4. Site Development. Structures shall be required to match the predominate development pattern in the block face across the street. If alley access exists on the proposed site, garages and parking areas shall be designed for alley use.

Vehicular access to the garage is provided by a south abutting 16-foot-wide alley. Pedestrian access will be provided by two (2) three-foot-wide walkways extending from the porch entrances to the curb. The proposed townhomes will be two-stories, consistent with other residential buildings on the block face across the street.

Building Mass. Building Mass shall be regulated by building setbacks and floor area ratio (FAR). The maximum FAR shall be existing FAR of the property prior to redevelopment or 0.50 FAR, whichever is greater.

This application utilizes a 0.50 FAR base allowance permitted for Redevelopment Plans in accordance with Section 16.70.040.1.15. This allowance is higher than the 0.40 base FAR permitted by the NT-2 zoning district before design bonuses are required.

The property has an existing FAR of 0.29 . The request proposes an FAR of 0.65 . This 0.65 FAR is requested by combining the 0.50 allowed for Redevelopment Plans with a 0.10 architectural style bonus and 0.05 bonus for exterior materials.
5. Building Height. Residential structures for a project less than a city block shall comply with the following building height and roof design requirements: i. The maximum height shall not exceed 24 feet to the eave line and 36 feet to the peak of the roof for primary structures, ii. The maximum height shall not exceed 20 feet to the eave line and 32 feet to the peak of the roof for secondary or accessory structures, iii. The maximum slope of any roof angle shall not exceed 12/12. iv. Dormers shall not exceed 50-percent of any roof surface.

The townhomes have a proposed building height of 23.4-feet to the beginning of the second-floor roof line and 33.8-feet to the roof peak.
6. Development Across Multiple Lots. Structures shall be separated by zoning district setbacks, however, if not separated by zoning district setbacks, there shall be a break in the building and roof planes at each original lot line which shall be
equal to or greater than the combined side yard setbacks that would be required for each lot.

This criterion is not applicable to this case.
7. Single Corner Lots. Structures on single corner lots shall be oriented so that the front entrance of the structure faces the legal front yard.

This criterion is not applicable to this case.
8. Traditional Grid Roadway Network. For projects equal to or greater than a city block, extensions of the traditional grid roadway network which 1) abut the perimeter of the project area and 2) would be logically extended through the project area shall be required. Compliance with applicable subdivision and public improvement regulations shall be required.

This criterion is not applicable to this case.
9. Non-Traditional Grid Roadway Network. For projects equal to or greater than a city block, roadway and pedestrian networks shall meet the following requirements: i. There should be at least two (2) points of entry into the project, ii. Sidewalk connections shall be made to surrounding streets, homes, and businesses, iii. Streets shall be stubbed to property lines to allow for roadway extensions into abutting properties which may be developed or are anticipated to be redeveloped in the future.

This criterion is not applicable to this case.
10. Density and Intensity. For mobile home park redevelopment, the maximum number of dwelling units shall be equal to the number of legal mobile home spaces(lots) within the park prior to redevelopment, or 140-percent of the maximum density of the future land use designation assigned to the property, whichever is less.

This criterion is not applicable to this case.
FAR Bonuses: The criteria for Floor Area Ratio bonuses are set forth under Subsection 16.70.040.1.15.

FAR bonuses shall only be allowed for originally platted lots which have not been joined together. Structures on joined or combined lots (two or more originally platted lots) shall not be allowed FAR bonus and shall be developed following the development across multiple lots criteria indicated in Section 16.70.040.1.15.

In this case, there are no buildings containing grandfathered density that were developed across any platted lot lines.
a. An FAR bonus of 0.10 shall be granted when structures are located in a traditional neighborhood context and designed in a traditional building style as defined by the City's neighborhood design review manual or the Land Development Regulations. To qualify for this FAR bonus, the structure shall use the correct proportions, fenestration patterns, details, and materials. Structures that use finishes common to an identified style without proper design, detailing, and fenestration shall not qualify for this FAR bonus.

The proposed townhouse structure is designed in the Folk Vernacular architectural style as outlined in the St. Petersburg's Design Guidelines for Historic Properties. The proposed structure features correct proportions of the Folk Vernacular architectural style including parallel porch covers, symmetrically placed two over one windows, decorative entry doors, boxed eaves, rectangular structural form, and asphalt shingle roofing.
(b) An FAR Bonus of 0.05 shall be granted when structures are finished with decorative wall finishes typical of traditional development. This includes clapboard or single products of real wood "Hardi-Plank" or the equivalent, rough textured or exposed aggregate stucco, tile, brick, or stone. Vinyl or aluminum siding and smooth or knock down stucco shall not qualify for this bonus.

The project proposes to utilize fiber cement board siding as the exterior siding material for the development.

Staff reviewed the plans for consistency with the St. Petersburg's Design Guidelines for Historic Properties to ensure that it meets the design requirements for the Folk Vernacular architectural style and determined that it is in compliance.

Public Comments: The subject property is located within the Crescent Heights Neighborhood and Dr. Martin Luther King Jr Street Business District. The Neighborhood Association and all property owners within 300-feet of the subject property were notified of the request. At the time of completion of this report, Staff received one phone call requesting clarification of the request but no comments in favor or opposition to the request.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Based on a review of the redevelopment application according to the evaluation criteria contained within the City Code, the Development Services Department Staff recommends APPROVAL of the requested Redevelopment Plan.

CONDITIONS OF COMMISSION ACTION: If the application is approved consistent with the site plan submitted with this application, the Planning and Development Department Staff recommends that the approval shall be subject to the following conditions:

1. Any outstanding public liens, assessments, or property taxes shall be paid.
2. The plans submitted for permitting shall substantially resemble the plans attached to this report. Significant modifications to the plans shall require a new application and public hearing. Development of the site shall comply with all requirements of the NT-2 zoning district.
3. The site plan submitted for permitting shall depict all proposed ancillary equipment, such as generators, air conditioning, and irrigation equipment. These items shall be screened with either fencing or perimeter landscaping and shall comply with allowable setbacks.
4. Site plans for any future development must show the location of all protected and grand trees. Any application to remove the trees shall comply with Section 16.40.060.53.4. at the time of permitting, including submittal of any necessary reports.
5. This approval shall be valid through June 7, 2026. Substantial construction shall commence prior to this expiration date. The applicant may request up to two twoyear extensions from the POD prior to the expiration. Requests for extension must be filed in writing to the POD prior to the expiration date. Approval of this Redevelopment Plans does not grant or imply variances from other sections of the City Code or other applicable regulations.

Report Prepared By:

| /s/Shervon Chambliss | $5 / 25 / 2023$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Shervon Chambliss | Date |
| Planner II |  |
| Development Review Services Division |  |
| Planning and Development Services Department |  |

Report Prepared For:

| /s/ Corey Malyszka | $5 / 25 / 23$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corey Malyszka | Date |
| Zoning Official |  |
| Development Review Services Division <br> Planning and Development Services Department |  |

Attachments: Project Location Map (Attachment-A), Redevelopment Plan Application (Attachment-B), Construction Plans (Attachment-C), Property Card Interpretation (Attachment-D), Code Enforcement History (Attachment E)

Included in this packet:

- Application
- Affidavit to Authorize Agent
- Data Sheet
- General Information
- Narrative
- Neighborhood Worksheet
- Public Participation Report

Planning and Development Services Department Development Review Services

City of St. Petersburg P.O. Box 2842

St. Petersburg, FL 33731
(727) 893-7471

UPDATED: 12-17-2020

## REDEVELOPMENT

## Application No.

$\qquad$

## List of Required Submittals

Only complete applications will be accepted:
Completed Redevelopment Application, Data Sheet, and Narrative
Pre-application Meeting Notes (provided by staff at required preapplication meeting)
$N^{\prime / A}$ Affidavit to Authorize Agent, if Agent signs application
Application fee payment
Public Participation Report (for public hearing cases)
Eligibility (Property Card Interpretation [PCI] or valid occupational license for grandfathered units)

- 2 copies of Site Plan or Survey of the subject property:
- To scale on $8.5^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ paper
- North arrow
- Setbacks of structures to the property lines
- Dimensions and exact locations of all property lines, structures, parking spaces, and landscaping
2 copies of Floor Plans:
- $8.5^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}, 8.5^{\prime \prime} \times 14^{\prime \prime}$, or $11^{\prime \prime} \times 17^{\prime \prime}$ paper; including one $8.5^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$
- Locations of all doorways, windows, and walls (interior and exterior)
- Dimensions and area of each room, including closets
- Dimensions and area of each dwelling unit, excluding storage areas


## Landscape Plans:

- $8.5^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}, 8.5^{\prime \prime} \times 14^{\prime \prime}$, or $11^{\prime \prime} \times 17^{\prime \prime}$ paper; including one $8 / 5^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$
- North arrow
- Legend identifying plants by scientific and common name, size, spacing, and quantity
- Location, type, and size in diameter at breast height (d.b.h.) of all specimen trees indicated to be preserved or removed
2 copies of Elevation Drawings:
- $8.5^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}, 8.5^{\prime \prime} \times 14^{\prime \prime}$, or $11^{\prime \prime} \times 17^{\prime \prime}$ paper; including one $8.5^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$
- Depicts all sides of existing and proposed structure(s)
- PDF of application documents and drawings (may be emailed to Staff Planner)
The following items are optional, but strongly suggested:
- Neighborhood Worksheet
- Photographs of the subject property and structure(s)

A Pre-Application Meeting is required prior to submittal.
To schedule, please call (727) 892-5498.
$\qquad$

## Pre-Application Meeting Notes

Meeting Date: $11 / 04 / 2022$
Zoning District: NT-2

## Address/Location: 858 26TH AVE N

Request:

## Redevelopment of 2 Grandfathered Units

Type of Application: Redevelopment Staff Planner for Pre-App: SKB Attendees: Patrick Farese

Neighborhood and Business Associations within 300 feet:

| Assoc. | Contact Name: | Email: | Phone: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CRESCENT HEIGHTS NBRHD ASSN | Salvador Valles Jansen | crescentheights.president@gmail.com |  |
| Dr. MLK (9th St) | Shawn Hannon | mlkbusinessdistrict@gmail.com | 727-542-7988 |

(See Public Participation Report in applicable Application Package for CONA and FICO contacts.)
FICO contact: Kimberly Frazier-Leggett; FICO email: kleggs11@outlook.com Notes: CONA contact: Tom Lally; CONA email: variance@stpetecona.org

Townhomes must be developed to appear as a single-family residence from the front of the property. Prior to submittal of application provide Site Plans, Floor Plans and Elevation Drawings to Scot.Bolyard@StPete.org and Shervon.Chambliss@StPete.org for review of conformance with Redevelopment Plan requirements.

Applications must be complete and filed in-person by appointment with the planner from the pre-application meeting.

## REDEVELOPMENT

## Application No.

All applications are to be filled out completely and correctly. The application shall be submitted to the City of St. Petersburg's Development Review Services Division, located on the $1^{\text {st }}$ floor of the Municipal Services Building, One $4^{\text {th }}$ Street North.


## 

Redevelopment Plan Review $\$ 500.00$<br>Variance - First Variance $\$ 350.00$<br>Each additional Variance $\$ 100.00$<br>Cash, credit, and checks made payable to the "City of St. Petersburg"

## AUTHORIZATION

City Staff and the designated Commission may visit the subject property during review of the requested redevelopment. Any Code violations on the property that are noted during the inspections will be referred to the City's Codes Compliance Assistance Department.

The applicant, by filing this application, agrees he or she will comply with the decision(s) regarding this application and conform to all conditions of approval. The applicant's signature affirms that all information contained within this application has been completed, and that the applicant understands that processing this application may involve substantial time and expense. Filing an application does not guarantee approval, and denial or withdrawal of an application does not result in remittance of the application fee.

NOTE: IT IS INCUMBENT UPON THE APPLICANT TO SUBMIT CORRECT INFORMATION. ANY MISLEADING, DECEPTIVE, INCOMPLETE, OR INCORREGT IIFORMATION MAY INVALIDATE YOUR APPROVAL.

Signature of Owner / Agent*:


Date: $\qquad$
Printed Name:
Ben Gelston
-Affidavit to Authorize Agent required, if signed by Agent.

## REDEVELOPMENT

DATA SHEET

ONLY COMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL BE ACCEPTED.
FAILURE TO COMPLETE THIS FORM WILL RESULT IN DEFERRAL OF YOUR APPLICATION.


## REDEVELOPMENT

## DATA SHEET



[^0] REDEVELOPMENT NARRATIVE (PAGE 1)

All applications for redevelopment must provide justification for the requested redevelopment based on the criteria set forth by the City Code. It is recommended that the following responses by typed. Illegible handwritten responses will not be accepted. A separate letter addressing each of the criteria may be provided as a supplement to this form.

## ALL OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA MUST BE ANSWERED.

## APPLICANT NARRATIVE

| APPLICANT NARRATIVE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Street Address:858 26th Ave N | Case No.: |
| Detailed Description of Project and Request: |  |
| Redevelopment of two attached single-family dwelling units |  |
| 1. Building Type. Describe how the proposed building type (e.g. single-family homes with garage apartments, duplexes, multi-family uses, etc.) will match the predominate building type in the block face across the street, for projects less than a city block. For projects equal to or greater than one city block, describe how the proposed building type for perimeter structures will match the predominate building type in the block face across the street. |  |
| The exisiting structure was utilized as a 2 -unit duplex for rent. |  |
| The proposed structure will complement the existing block face with two, two-story, attached single-family townhomes |  |
| oo be sold separately. This redevelopment of two single-family dwelling will complement the predomanace of |  |
| single-family homes along the block face, as well as the duplex-triplex-fourplex adjacent to, and west if the subject property. |  |
| Given approval of the reinstatement and redevelopment, a lot split will follow to delineate the two attached single-family townhomes |  |
| 2. Building Setbacks. Describe how the proposed building setbacks (including both perimeter and interior setbacks) will match the predominate building setbacks in the block face across the street, for projects less than a city block. For projects equal to or greater than one city block, describe how the proposed building setbacks for perimeter structures will match the predominate building setbacks in the block face across the street. |  |
| Existing setbacks for this property are inconsistent with the streetscape supporting a 90 ' frontage setback and 4' rear setback. |  |
| The proposed setbacks conform with the current NT-2 setback parameters. No setback variance are required. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 3. Building Type. Describe how the proposed building scale (one-story or two-story principle structures) will match the predominate building scale in the block face across the street, for projects less than a city block. For projects equal to or greater than one city block, describe how the proposed building scale for perimeter structures will match the predominate building scale in the block face across the street. |  |
|  |  |
| The proposed project complements the existing streetscape of 1 -stroy and 2 -story structures. |  |
| The buildings to the west and northeast of this parcel support two-story structures. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

REDEVELOPMENT

NARRATIVE (PAGE 2)

All applications for redevelopment must provide justification for the requested redevelopment based on the criteria set forth by the City Code. It is recommended that the following responses by typed. Illegible handwritten responses will not be accepted.

## ALL OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA MUST BE ANSWERED.

## APPLICANT NARRATIVE

4. Site Developınent and Orientation. Describe how the proposed site development and orientation (e.g. location of buildings, front entries, driveways, parking, and utility functions) will match the predominate development pattern in the block face across the street, for projects less than a city block. For projects equal to or greater than one city block, describe how the proposed development pattern for perimeter structures will match the predominate development pattern in the block face across the street. If alley access exists on the proposed site, garages and parking areas shall be designed for alley use.
The proposed attached single-family townhomes are consistent with predominant conditions along 26th Ave N and account for alley access via two (2) rear load garages. The principal structure will be located towards the parcel's frontage along 26th Ave N, contrary to the existing structues condition, allowing for a more consistent streetscape.
Potalble utilities will supply the structure from the front and sanitary lines are fed to the rear, in the alley.
5. Floor area Ratio Bonuses. FAR bonuses shall only be allowea for originally platted lots which have not been joined together. Structures on joined or combined lots (two or more originally platted lots) shall not be allowed FAR bonuses. If bonuses are required, please complete the following questions:
a. FAR Bonus of 0.10 - An FAR bonus of 0.10 shall be granted when structures are located in a traditional neighborhood context and designed in a traditional building style as defined by the City's Neighborhood Design Review Manual or the Land Development Regulations. Describe the principle architectural style of the proposed building and explain how it uses the correct proportions, fenestration patterns, details, and materials of the recognized style.
Please refer to the Zoning Compliance Calculator included in this package.
Architectural style is American Vernacular.
b. FAR Bonus of 0.05 - Describe whether the proposed building is finished with decorative wall finishes typical of traditional development. This includes clapboard or single products of real wood "HardiPlank" or the equivalent, rough textured or exposed aggregate stucco, tile, brick, or stone. Vinyl or aluminum siding and smooth or knock down stucco shall not qualify for this bonus.
The proposed principal structure will consist hardi-plank siding on 4-sides of the second story
and stucco on 4 -sides of the first story

Subject: Redevelopment_858 26th Ave N
Date: Friday, February 24, 2023 at 6:07:21 PM Eastern Stanciard Time
From: Patrick Farese
To: kleggs11@outlook.com, variance@stpetecona.org, crescentheights.president@gmail.com
CC: Scot K. Bolyard, Shervon A. Chambliss, BEN@canopybuilders.com
Attachments: image001.png, image002.png, image003.png, image004.png, image005.png, image006.png, 23.02.16-858 26th Ave N.pdf, 858 26th Ave $N$ - Preapp notes[57].pdf, CALCULATOR Residential Zoning Compliance23.02.16[10].pdf

Tom Lally (CONA), Salvador Valles Jansen (Crescent Heights NA), Kimberly Frazier-Leggett (FICO),
This email serves as a notice of intent to file a Redevelopment application for $85826^{\text {th }}$ Ave N, Parcel \#07-31-17-84888-000-0200.

Attached:

- Plan Submission
- Site/Landscape
- Floor Plans
- Elevations
- Preapplication Notes
- Zoning Calculator
- Redevelopment Application

Respectfully,

## Patrick Farese

Land Devalopment \& Permiting
$0727.550-079710727-417-2742$


Subject: FW: 858 26th Ave N_Redevelopment
Date: Sunday, February 5, 2023 at 9:31:49 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Patrick Farese
To: kleggs11@outlook.com, variance@stpetecona.org, crescentheights.president@gmail.com
CC: BEN@canopybuilders.com, Scot K. Bolyard, Shervon A. Chambliss
Attachments: image001.png, image002.png, image003.png, image004.png, image005.png, image006.png, 23.02.02-26th Ave Duplex_CD[76].pdf, 858 26th Ave $N$ - Preapp notes.pdf, 858_Redevelopment Nol.pdf, 858 26th Ave N_Redevelopment.pdf

$$
4
$$

Tom Lally (CONA), Salvador Valles Jansen (Crescent Heights NA), Kimberly Frazier-Leggett (FICO),
This email serves as a notice of intent to file a Redevelopment application for $85826^{\text {th }}$ Ave N , Parcel \#07-31-17-84888-000-0200.

## Attached:

- Plan Submission
- Site/Landscape
- Floor Plans
- Elevations
- Preapplication Notes
- Notice of Intent to File Letter
- Redevelopment Application

Respectfully,
Patrick Farese
Land Development \& Permitting O 727-560-0737 । C 727-417-2742


From: Patrick Farese [patrick@canopybuilders.com](mailto:patrick@canopybuilders.com)
Date: Sunday, February 5, 2023 at 9:18 PM
To: Shervon A. Chambliss [shervon.chambliss@stpete.org](mailto:shervon.chambliss@stpete.org), Scot K. Bolyard
[Scot.Bolyard@stpete.org](mailto:Scot.Bolyard@stpete.org)
Subject: 858 26th Ave N_Redevelopment
Scot, Shervon,
Please see the attached plans for the Redevelopment of $85826^{\text {th }}$ Ave N. I would like to submit the complete redevelopment application tomorrow morning for the April $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ DRC Hearing.

## REDEVELOPMENT

## PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REPORT

In accordance with LDR Section 16.70.040.1.F., "It is the policy of the City to encourage applicants to meet with residents of the surrounding neighborhoods prior to filing an application for a decision requiring a streamline review or public hearing. Participation in the public participation process prior to required public hearings will be considered by the decision-making official when considering the need, or request, for a continuance of an application. It is not the intent of this section to require neighborhood meetings, (except when the application is for a local historic district) but to encourage meetings prior to the submission of applications for approval and documentation of efforts which have been made to address any potential concerns prior to the formal application process."
NOTE: This Report may be updated and resubmitted up to 10 days prior to the scheduled Public Hearing.


September 16, 2022

Canopy BS Phase 2, LLC
200 Mirror Lake Drive N
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

| RE: | PROPERTY CARD INTERPRETATION: | 22-41000015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Property Generally Located At: | $85826^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N |  |
| Parcel ID No.: | $07-31-17-84888-000-0200$ |  |
|  | Legal Description: | SPRING HILL REVISED LOT 20 |

To Whom It May Concern:
A Property Card Interpretation letter has been completed for the above-referenced property. The following findings have been made:

## LEGAL NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS

1. The property is zoned NT-2, which allows one single-family dwelling and one accessory dwelling unit in compliance with the land development regulations. The City will recognize more than the currently allowed number of units on the property if the units were legally constructed.
2. The property card for the lot, which covers the period between the inception of the property card, (1926), and 1987, indicates that a dwelling and garage apartment were constructed (see attached).
3. On 11/19/92, a Business Certificate of Occupancy (\#92-1252) was issued for two (2) rental units.
4. From 1988 to present, additional maintenance-type permits such as roofing have been issued for the property.
5. Accordingly, the interpretation can be made that two (2) dwelling units were legally constructed on the property.

## LEGAL STATUS OF DWELLING UNITS

1. When there are more units on the property than permitted by the current zoning, the additional units are considered grandfathered dwelling units. In this case, there is one (1) additional unit.
2. Dwelling units may lose their grandfathered status and become abandoned for the following reasons:
a. Not occupied due to violations of building, nuisance, or other public health, welfare, and safety codes for a continuous period of one year or for intermittent periods amounting to one year or more within any two-year period.
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September 16, 2022

Canopy BS Phase 2, LLC
200 Mirror Lake Drive N
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

## RE: PROPERTY CARD INTERPRETATION: <br> Property Generally Located At: <br> Parcel ID No.: <br> Legal Description:

22-41000015
$85826^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N
07-31-17-84888-000-0200

To Whom It May Concern:
A Property Card Interpretation letter has been completed for the above-referenced property. The following findings have been made:

## LEGAL NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS

1. The property is zoned NT-2, which allows one single-family dwelling and one accessory dwelling unit in compliance with the land development regulations. The City will recognize more than the currently allowed number of units on the property if the units were legally constructed.
2. The property card for the lot, which covers the period between the inception of the property card, (1926), and 1987, indicates that a dwelling and garage apartment were constructed (see attached).
3. On 11/19/92, a Business Certificate of Occupancy (\#92-1252) was issued for two (2) rental units.
4. From 1988 to present, additional maintenance-type permits such as roofing have been issued for the property.
5. Accordingly, the interpretation can be made that two (2) dwelling units were legally constructed on the property.

## LEGAL STATUS OF DWELLING UNITS

1. When there are more units on the property than permitted by the current zoning, the additional units are considered grandfathered dwelling units. In this case, there is one (1) additional unit.
2. Dwelling units may lose their grandfathered status and become abandoned for the following reasons:
a. Not occupied due to violations of building, nuisance, or other public health, welfare, and safety codes for a continuous period of one year or for intermittent periods amounting to one year or more within any two-year period.
b. Not occupied for a continuous period of two years. For residential units and uses, such occupancy must be as a tenant or owner and may not be incidental to the occupant's employment as caretaker or security person for the property.
c. No business tax certificate issued for the property or the units that are subject to abandonment for a continuous period of two years or for intermittent periods amounting to two years or more within any three-year period.
3. The Pinellas County Property Appraiser's website shows that the property has not been homesteaded.
4. City Business Tax has been paid for two (2) rental units since at least 2004.
5. Therefore, two (2) dwelling units are legally allowed on the property.
6. If the property owner desires to substantially modify the units, a Redevelopment Application may be submitted to the Development Review Services Division of the City prior to demolition or modification of the units. Please contact DRC@stpete.org to schedule a required pre-application meeting for a Redevelopment Plan.

## Appeal

If you have evidence that the findings of this interpretation are incorrect, you may submit a reconsideration request within 15 days of the receipt of this letter. An appeal must be filed within 10 days following delivery of the subsequent reconsideration. Appeals are heard before the Development Review Commission. An appeal is a statement on your part that you do not believe that the findings of this determination are correct and that you have evidence to refute the finding. For a reconsideration or appeal, you must submit a cover letter and whatever evidence is referenced in your letter. The 30-day deadline does not apply to applications for reinstatement.

This determination is effective as of the date of this letter and is subject to change upon any future amendment to the Land Development Regulations. Future development on the subject parcel shall be subject to all applicable codes at time of permitting; including, but not limited to, Land Development Regulations and Building and Life Safety Codes.

If you have questions about the appeal or the Development Review Commission, please feel free to contact the Clerk at (727) 892-5498.

Sincerety,

Corey Malyszka, AICP, Zoning Official (POD)
Development Review Services Division
Planning \& Development Services Department
Attachments: City Property Card, Pinellas County Property Card, Application




# PROPERTY CARD RNCEERPRETATION (PCI) 

Application No. 22-41000015

A Property Card Interpretation ( PCl ) will identify lawful uses of property. All applications are to be filled out completely and correctly. Applications are submitted to the City of St. Petersburg's Development Review Services Division, located on the $1^{\text {st }}$ floor of the Municipal Services Building, One Fourth Street North.


The fee for a property card interpretation application: $\$ 60.00$ ( $1^{\text {st }}$ hour of research, plus $\$ 50.00$ per hour thereafter) Cash, credit, checks made payable to "City of St. Petersburg"

## AUTHORIZATION

As owner of the subject property, I understand that a property card interpretation may have serious implications addressing the legality of my property. If my opinion differs from that of the $\mathrm{PCl}, \mathrm{I}$ also understand that I have 15 -days upon receipt of the PCI to request reconsideration, and 10 -days upon receipt of the subsequent reconsideration to submit an appeal of the decision, which will be heard before the Development Review Commission. If the PCI indicates abandoned grandfathered dwelling units, then I may apply for reinstatement.


PROPERTY CARD INTERPRETATION (PCI) NARRATIVE and CHECKLIST

ALL OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA MUST BE ANSWERED.

## APPLICANT NARRATIVE

A property Card Interpretation will research the grandfathered status of land use types at the subject property and may determine how many legal dwelling units may exist on the subject property.

1. What is the current use of the property? Duplex - 4-Plex
2. How many dwelling units exist at the property? 2
3. How many rooming units exist at the property?
4. Does the owner occupy the property as his or her permanent residence? No
5. When was the last time the property was owner-occupied? MONTH__YEAR Unhmaw'n
6. Are the dwelling units or rooming units currently occupied? NO, moved out Suly 2022
a. If yes, how many units are currently occupied? N/A
b. If yes, where are the units located within the structure(s)? $N / A$
7. On what date did you purchase the property? $4 / 8 / 2022$

## CHECKLIST

Completed PCl application form;

| Application fee; |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Affidavit to authorize agent, if agent signs; |

Notice: A request for reconsideration must be filed within 15-days following delivery of the PCI to the property owner. An appeal must be filed by the property owner within 10 -days following delivery of the subsequent reconsideration. Appeals are heard before the Development Review Commission. If the PCl indicates abandoned grandfathered dwelling units, then the property owner may apply for reinstatement.


NOTE: Survey not valid without the signature and the original raised seal of a florida Licensed Surveyor and Mapper.

Attachment E
Code Enforcement History
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